

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,689	09/27/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	07977/286001/US5247	5005
7	590 11/06/2002			
SCOTT C. HARRIS			EXAMINER	
Fish & Richardson P.C. Suite 500			CHEN, KIN CHAN	
4350 La Jolia Village Drive San Diego, CA 92122			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<u>.</u>			1765	17
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002	1 (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/966,689	YAMAZAKI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Kin-Chan Chen	1765
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	p ars on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address
A SHO THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute exply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 s	September 2002 .	
2a) <u></u> □	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.	
3) 🗌 Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
4) 🖾	Claim(s) $1-28$ is/are pending in the application	l.	
4	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are withdrawr	from consideration.	
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>15-28</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.	
	he specification is objected to by the Examiner	·	
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		xaminer
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapp	· ·
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		,
12)[] TI	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
	〗All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2	C. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applica	ation No.
	Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burd to the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ty documents have been recei eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ved in this National Stage
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a) [The translation of the foreign language proving the translation of the foreign language proving the translation of the translat	visional application has been re	eceived.
Attachment(s		- •	
2) Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5.9</u>	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trade O-326 (Rev.	-	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 11

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 15-25 and adding new claims 26-28 in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Susko et al. (US 4,885,074; hereinafter "Susko") in view of Sill et al. (US 6,431,112 B1; hereinafter "Sill").

Susko teaches an etching method using a dry etching apparatus provided with a first electrode and a second electrode opposed to each other. A substrate may be disposed on the second electrode comprising a plurality of electrodes provided (being independent from each other, claims 22 and 26) in a chamber. A reaction gas may be supplied into the chamber. A first high-frequency power may be applied to an electrode disposed below a central portion of the substrate and a second high-frequency power may be applied to electrodes disposed below the edge portions of the substrate to

Art Unit: 1765

supply an AC electric field between the first electrode and the second electrode. The plasma may be generated (with a magnetic field or an electric field, claim 18) between the first electrode and the second electrode. A plurality of high power sources independently connected to each of the plurality of electrodes (claim 26). A material film on the substrate disposed on the second electrode may be etched. (col. 3, lines 32-54; col. 4, lines 16-32 and Figs 3-6; col. 5, lines 7-21). The wafer can be processed uniformly and the etching from the center of workpiece and the edges of workpiece has the same extent (col. 4, lines 30-32; col. 5, lines 18-20).

Susko discloses that the plasma reactor is capable of sustaining a vacuum (abstract). Susko does not explicitly state supplying a reaction gas into the chamber under a reduced pressure. However, it is conventional for the plasma etching process. Sill is relied on to show that in the plasma processing (e.g., plasma etching), a reaction gas is supplied into the chamber under a reduced pressure (under vacuum) (col. 5, lines 33-37, lines 53-62). Because it is a conventional method in the art of plasma etching and because it is disclosed by Sill, hence, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to perform said process step of Susko under reduced pressure as taught by Sill in order to provide their art recognized advantages and produce an expected result.

Susko teaches that the workpiece can be a semiconductor device or any structure to be etched. Susko is not particular about the shape or structure of the workpiece, therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use workpiece with conventional shapes (e.g., round, rectangular, or square

Art Unit: 1765

substrates). Hence, the edges of the substrate comprise the corner portions of the substrates, as instantly claimed, wherein the electrodes may be disposed.

As to dependent claim 16, Susko teaches using the first high-frequency power and the second high-frequency power. Susko does not disclose the frequency used in its process. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use standard13.56 MHz frequency (see El-kareh (FSPT, p. 285) in the record as evidence) for both power sources because it is extra cost without benefit to use different frequencies for power sources.

As to claim 20, Susko teaches that the workpiece can be a semiconductor device or any structure to be etched. Susko is not particular about the structure of the workpiece being etched, therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use workpiece with conventional wiring structure of semiconductor device, such as a conductive film formed on the substrate with a mask formed on the conductive film. Hence, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to perform said process steps of Susko in the conventional wiring structure in order to provide their art recognized advantages and produce an expected result.

Claims 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, and 28 differ from the prior art by teaching various features well known to the art of semiconductor device fabrication (such as dry etching apparatus in claims 17, 19, and 23; wiring type in claim 21; electronic devices applications in claims 25 and 28). It is the examiner's position that a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instantly claimed invention would have found it obvious to modify Susko and Sill by adding any of same well-known features to same

Art Unit: 1765

Page 5

because these features would have been anticipated to provide their art recognized advantages and thus produce an expected result.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. El-Kareh, Fundamentals of Semiconductor Processing Technologies (FSPT), page 285, teaches that frequency typically 13.56 MHz is used in plasma etching system.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kin-Chan Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0222. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on (703) 308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2934.

K-C C October 24, 2002 Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 1765